STATE HIGHWAY ADMINISTRATION INDEPENDENT QUALITY ASSURANCE EROSION / SEDIMENT CONTROL AND STORMWATER MANAGEMENT FIELD INVESTIGATION REPORT

DISTRICT: COUN	NTY:	_ CONTRACT NO:		DATE OF	INSPECTION:
PROJECT DESCRIPTION					
	·				
CONTRACTOR:					
					EXP. DATE:
S.H.A. PROJECT REPR					
REGIONAL ENVIRON	MENTAL COORDIN	ATOR:			
SITE STATUS: CU	IDDENITI V ACTIVE	CHIDDENTI V II	NACTIVE		
SITE CONDITION:				OMPLIANCE	
					COMPLAINT OTHER
*RECOMMENDED A					NOTIFY M.D.E.
RECOMMENDED II	_				WN ENTIRE PROJECT
	=	R TO NOTES	.,		
	☐ NO GI	RADE			
	<u>—</u>	GI	RADE		
		T	Γ	Percent Awarded	for
	Section	Number of	Number of		X/Y)
		points awarded	points available	x 100	7.7
	1	×	у		
	2	×	у		
	3	×	у		
	4	×	у		
	Total (1-4)	x	у		
		ction 1-4 Total) x	80%		A
	5	×	у		
	T . 1	Section 5 x 20%			В
	Total	Grade (Add box A			
	6		on, Number of awarded		
		Final Score	waraca		_
		rillai Score			
			-	~	
RATI	NG:	\mathbf{A} \mathbf{J}	B **($\mathbb{C} \mid \ ^{**}\mathbb{I}$) ** F '
	(A = 105 -	90, B = 80-89.9, C	= 70-79.9, D = 60-	69.9, F = < 60)	
DECIONAL ENV. CO	OODDINATOR.			DATE.	
REGIONAL ENV. CO	JORDINATOR:			DATE:	-
	CONTRACTOR:			DATE:	
	CONTRACTOR.			DAIL.	
		RECEIVE	ED BY:		
** Follow the "QA commu	inication Protocol"			(SHA REPRESENT	CATIVE)
			(SIGNA	ATURE IMPLIES RECE	IPT OF THIS REPORT ONLY)

ORIGINAL: Project Engineer cc: Contractor

Point	1. IS PROJECT IN SCOPE?	Y	N	Pts.	N/A	Pts.
Value	* If No, Project is automatically Rated an "F".			Awarded		Excluded
*	1.1. Have all appropriate permits and approvals been obtained (SHA/Contractor)?					
*	1.2. Are specified LOD, wetlands, buffers, jurisdictional waters, floodplains and/or tree protection					
	areas demarcated and disturbed areas contained within the LOD (active work areas)?					
*	1.3. Is the project in conformance with the E&S plan, schedules and contract documents?					
4	1.3.1. Are sediment controls in place prior to disturbing areas of intended control?					
2	1.3.2. Are controls removed with QA program approval?					
4	1.3.3. Are the SWM as built activities being performed per the contract documents?					
4	1.4. Have all changes (modifications) been approved to date?					
1	1.5. Have approved changes (modifications) been implemented?					
4	1.6. Are the ESCM duties in compliance with specification 308.03.03					
3	1.7. Are stockpiles/staging/waste areas approved?					
2	1.8. Is grading limited to maximum grading unit?					
2	1.9. Are roadways clear of sediment?					
	NPDES The following question are also applicable when the project has 1 acre or more of					
	disturbance					
2	1.10 Is trash collected and placed in a covered dumpster?					
2	1.11 Are washout facilities (concrete, paint, etc.) clearly marked, maintained and wash water]			
	properly contained?	ш	Ш			
2	1.12 Are fuel containers and vehicle maintenance areas free of spills, leaks or any other deleterious					
	material?				Ш	
2	1.13 Are materials that are potential stormwater contaminants stored inside or under cover?					
34	= Total Possible Points Subtotal =					*

Total Points Available = 34 - ____* = ____

																=
2.	ARE (CONTR	OLS	PR	OPERL	Y INSTA	LLED?		3.	ARE CONTROLS PROPERLY MAINTAINED?						
Y	N	Pts.	N/	/A	Pts.	2.1	Pts.	Water Conveyance	Pts.	3.1	Y	N	Ī	Pts.	N/A	Pts.
						2.1.1.	1	Earth Dikes	1	3.1.1.						
						2.1.2.	1	Temporary Swales	1	3.1.2.						
						2.1.3.	1	Perimeter Dike/Swales	1	3.1.3.						
						2.1.4.	2	Diversion Fence	2	3.1.4.						
						2.1.5.	1	Temporary Asphalt Berm	1	3.1.5.						
						2.1.6.	1	Clear Water Diversion Pipe	1	3.1.6.						
						2.2.		Erosion Control Devices	•	3.2.						
						2.2.1.	2	Pipe Slope Drains	2	3.2.1.						
						2.2.2.	1	Rip-rap Inflow Protection	1	3.2.2.						
						2.2.3.	1	Gabion Inflow Protection	1	3.2.3.						
						2.2.4.	2	Stone Check Dams	2	3.2.4.						
				$\overline{\Box}$		2.2.5.	1	Rock Outlet Protection	1	3.2.5.						
						2.3.		Sediment Trapping Devices		3.3.						
П	ПП			П		2.3.1.	3	Sediment Traps	3	3.3.1.	ТП					
Ħ	$\vdash \sqcap$			Ħ		2.3.2.	3	Sediment Basins	3	3.3.2.			$\overline{\Box}$			
						2.4.		Dewatering Practices		3.4.						
П	ПП			П		2.4.1.	2	Removable Pumping Station	2	3.4.1.	П					
\Box	İΠ			Ħ		2.4.2.	2	Sump Pits	2	3.4.2.			Ī		一百	
				\Box		2.4.3.	2	Portable Sediment Tanks	2	3.4.3.						
				\Box		2.4.4.	2	Dewatering Bags	2	3.4.4.						
2.5. Filtering Practices 3.5.																
П	ПП			П		2.5.1.	2	Silt Fence	2	3.5.1.	ТП					
						2.5.2.	3	Super Silt Fence	3	3.5.2.						
П	$\vdash \sqcap$			Ħ		2.5.3.	2	Inlet Protection	2	3.5.3.			$\overline{\Box}$		\Box	
П	$\vdash \sqcap$			Π		2.5.4.	2	Temporary Stone Outlet Structures	2	3.5.4.					\Box	
$\overline{\sqcap}$	$\vdash \sqcap$			Ħ		2.5.5.	3	Temporary Gabion Outlet Structure	3	3.5.5.					$\top \Box$	
				\Box		2.5.6.	2	Filter Log	2	3.5.6.					\Box	
Ē	\Box			Ħ		2.5.7.	2	Filter Berm	2	3.5.7.	\Box		П		$\top \Box$	
						2.6.		Grading and Stabilization		3.6.						
П	ΙП			П		2.6.1.	2	Stabilized Construction Entrance	2	3.6.1.	П				ΙП	
						2.7.		Miscellaneous Practices		3.7.						
П	ΤП			П		2.7.1.	3	Temp. Access Waterway Crossing	3	3.7.1.			П		ПП	
Ħ	ΙĦ			Ħ	1	2.7.2.	1	Dust Control	1	3.7.2.	┪	\exists	Ħ	1	1 7	
Ħ	l H			Ħ	1	2.7.3.	2	Onsite Concrete Washout Area	2	3.7.3.		\exists	Ħ	1	┪	
Ħ	ΙĦ			Ħ	1	2.7.4.	4	Maintenance of Stream Flow	4	3.7.4.		\exists	Ħ		┪	
					Special Features		3.8.									
П	ΙП			П		2.8.1.	1	~ F	1	3.8.1.		T	П		ПП	
Ħ	 			Ħ	1	2.8.2.	1		1	3.8.2.	1 7	\exists	Ħ		1 7	
	1 7		+	Ħ	1	2.8.3.	1		1	3.8.3.		\dashv	П		1 7	
H	+	-	_	Ħ	+	2.8.4	1		1	3.8.4	ᆉ	+	+	+	ᅮ岩	1
Subto	tol –					∠.O. +	60	= Total Possible Points =	60	J.O. +	Subto	tal -		+		
Dubio	ıaı –	1					UU	- Total Fossible Politis =	00		Subto	ıaı =	-	1		

Point	4. IS STABILIZATION PROVIDED IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE CONTRA	.CT Y	N	Pts.	N/A	Pts.		
Value	DOCUMENTS?			Awarded		Excluded		
	4.1. Is stabilization provided as specified?							
1	4.1.1. Temporary mulch or substituted matting							
2	4.1.2. Temporary stabilization							
2	4.1.3. Permanent stabilization							
3	4.1.4. Stabilization matting called for by plan							
3	4.1.5. Sod							
1	4.1.6. Stone							
1	4.1.7. Other							
	4.2. Is stabilization provided in the specified time frame?							
3	4.2.1. Same day stabilization							
2	4.2.2. 3-7 day stabilization							
2	4.2.3. Other							
1	4.3. Is incremental stabilization provided during construction?							
2	4.4. Is the stabilization performing as specified?							
2	4.5. Is vegetation being established?							
25	= Total Possible Points S	ubtotal =				*		

Total Points Available = 25 - ____* = ____

Point	5. WAS CORRECTIVE ACTION TIMELY FROM PREVIOUS QA REPORT?	Select
Value		one
5	5.1. No corrective action needed.	
4	5.2. Action completed < 24 hours.	
3	5.3. Action completed within 24 < 48 hours.	
2	5.4. Action completed within 48 < 72 hours.	
1	5.5. Action completed > 72 hours.	
0	5.6. Action not completed.	
5	= Total Possible Points Total Points Awarded =	

Point	6. IS THE CONTRACTOR PROACTIVE? (Bonus area)	Y	N	Pts.
Value				Awarded
1	6.1. Is sole duty of ESCM E&S activities?			
1	6.2. Recognizes and requests changes in a timely manner as warranted by any Changes or Modifications.			
1	6.3. ESCM conducts daily joint inspection with SHA staff.			
1	6.4. Contractor initiates corrective action.			
1	6.5. Contractor practices Environmental Awareness/Stewardship by training employees.			
5	= Total Possible Points Total Awarded			

Item No.	Notes: