

The team shall demonstrate construction experience relevant to the size, complexity, and composition of the anticipated PROJECT with an emphasis on previous work experience relevant to this PROJECT.



C. Team Organization



The team shall demonstrate an understanding of and approach to how the DB process and the team's organization will contribute to the success of the project and meet its goals.

The ratings assigned to the technical evaluation factors will be compiled to determine an overall quality rating for the SOQ. The ratings of each of the technical evaluation factors and the overall technical rating for the SOQ will be through a consensus process. Numerical scores will not be assigned.

The relative importance of the technical evaluation factors and subfactors, when noted, will be weighted based on the following criteria:

- Critical – Factors or subfactors weighted as Critical are approximately three times the relative importance of Important.
- Significant – Factors or subfactors weighted as Significant are approximately two times the relative importance of Important.

While some factors and subfactors may have more relative importance than others, all of the Administration's goals are necessary for project success. Proposers are cautioned not to overemphasize an approach of certain goals at the expense of other goals.

Quality ratings for each technical evaluation factor and the overall technical rating for the SOQ will be based on the following quality rating criteria:

- EXCEPTIONAL.** The Proposer has provided information relative to its qualifications which is considered to significantly exceed stated objectives/requirements in a beneficial way and indicates a consistently outstanding level of quality. There are essentially no weaknesses.
- GOOD.** The Proposer has presented information relative to its qualifications which is considered to exceed stated objectives/requirements and offers a generally better than acceptable level of quality. Weaknesses, if any, are very minor.
- ACCEPTABLE.** The Proposer has presented information relative to its qualifications, which is considered to meet the stated objectives/requirements, and has an acceptable level of quality. Weaknesses are minor, can be corrected.
- UNACCEPTABLE.** The Proposer has presented information relative to its qualifications that contains significant weaknesses and/or deficiencies and/or unacceptable level of quality. The SOQ fails to meet the stated objectives and/or requirements and/or lacks essential information that is conflicting and/or unproductive. Weaknesses/deficiencies are so major and/or extensive that a major revision to the SOQ would be necessary and/or not correctable.