

SECTION VI
COMMENTS AND COORDINATION

VI. COMMENTS AND COORDINATION

The Maryland State Highway Administration (SHA), in coordination with the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA), introduced the U.S. 50 Crossing Study to the public in the Spring of 2005. SHA has conducted various outreach activities to obtain feedback from residents of the study area. Activities included the Open House Meetings held on June 8 and 9, 2005 and October 6 and 7, 2005, the June 2006 Alternatives Public Workshop, and the May 31 and June 1, 2007 Public Informational Meetings. All of these meetings were held at the Roland E. Powell Convention Center in Ocean City, Maryland. SHA mailed project newsletters periodically to all community members in the study area and those included on the mailing list, and met informally with community members, potentially impacted property owners, and business representatives during project development. These newsletters were mailed out Summer 2005, Fall 2005, Winter 2006, and Summer 2007. There were also informational updates provided on SHA's website (www.marylandroads.com). In addition, Newspaper ads were placed in several local and regional newspapers prior the June 2006 Alternatives Public Workshop and May 29, 2008 Location Design/Public Hearing.

This section of the document includes a discussion of the public involvement and correspondence with the environmental resource agencies, elected officials, organizations, and the public since the May 29, 2008 Location/Design Public Hearing.

A. LOCATION/DESIGN PUBLIC HEARING

SHA held a Joint Location/Design Public Hearing on Thursday May 29, 2008, at the Roland E. Powell Convention Center in Ocean City. The purpose of the Public Hearing was to present the Alternatives Retained for Detailed Study (ARDS) and to provide an opportunity for public participation in the overall planning process. Attendance count reached 115 people. The team received 45 comment cards, five letters, three e-mails, 13 study survey cards with comments, and five feedback rating cards. Written responses were provided for all comments with a return address.

B. PUBLIC AND AGENCY COORDINATION FOLLOWING THE DEIS AND LOCATION/DESIGN PUBLIC HEARING

Following the feedback received from the Public Hearing, on July 14, 2010 the study team presented the SHA Preferred Alternative to the Administrator. Prior to choosing the SHA Preferred Alternative, SHA corresponded with and held several meetings with agencies and the public after the DEIS had been reviewed.

Coordination meetings following the DEIS and Public Hearing included the following:

- February 24, 2009 – SHA held meeting with Ocean City Mayor/Council to discuss results of Public Hearing and project schedule.
- March 6, 2009 – SHA provided additional information about mitigation to resource agencies.
- March 17, 2009 – SHA met with Worcester County Commissioners to discuss project.
- July 21, 2009 – SHA held a follow-up meeting with Worcester County Commissioners to discuss project and project team's preferences.
- August 19, 2009 – SHA met with resource agencies to resolve mitigation ratio issues. SHA offered 8:1 ratio for mitigation by contribution to DNR's CWI Program.
- September 1, 2009 – Project team met with SHA Administrator to discuss possible selection of Preferred Alternative. SHA Administrator requested additional information before making a selection.
- October 19, 2009 – SHA Administrator met with the Senator J. Lowell Stoltzfus, Delegate Mathias and Worcester County and Ocean City elected officials to discuss needs of proposed bridge. SHA was requested to develop preliminary impacts for two additional alternatives, Alternative 5B and Alternative 5C.
- April 6, 2010 – SHA met with Worcester County Commissioners to demonstrate a visualization of Alternative 4 Modified Signal Option and Alternative 5B and discuss impacts related to Alternative 4 Modified Signal Option, Alternative 5B, and Alternative 5C. Worcester County was not in favor of these alternatives due to their impacts and Alternative 5C did not provide the additional benefits of a higher bridge.
- April 27, 2010 – SHA met with Ocean City Mayor and City Council to demonstrate a visualization and discuss impacts related to Alternative 4 Modified Signal and Alternative 5B. Alternative 4 Modified Signal and Alternative 5B were not recommended for further consideration based on impacts.
- May 4, 2010 – SHA met with Worcester County Commissioners. They agree with Ocean City and do not support Alternative 4 Modified Signal due to impacts and favor Alternative 5A.
- July 15, 2010 – SHA project team met with the SHA Administrator. Alternative 5A was selected as the Preferred Alternative.
- March 16, 2011 – Interagency Review Meeting to discuss the Preferred Alternative and Conceptual Mitigation package and project schedule.

Comments from these coordination efforts have been taken into consideration in the planning of the SHA Preferred Alternative and are documented in this section (see the following Comments and Coordination Table of Contents).

Date	From	To	Subject	Page No.
Maryland Streamlined Environmental / Regulatory Process Coordination				
8/15/05	FHWA	SHA	Concurrence on Purpose and Need	A-1:A-2
7/20/05	Corps of Engineers	SHA	Concurrence on Purpose and Need	A-3
8/15/05	MD Dept of Natural Resources	SHA	Concurrence on Purpose and Need	A-4
8/19/05	MD Dept of the Environment	SHA	Concurrence on Purpose and Need	A-5
9/15/05	EPA	SHA	Concurrence on Purpose and Need	A-6
7/11/05	US Fish and Wildlife Service	SHA	Concurrence on Purpose and Need	A-7
9/30/05	MD Historical Trust	SHA	Concurrence on Purpose and Need	A-8
7/1/05	National Marine Fisheries Service	SHA	Concurrence on Purpose and Need	A-9
7/8/05	National Marine Fisheries Service	SHA	Letter providing comments on Concurrence on Purpose and Need	A-10:A-11
4/24/07	EPA	SHA	Concurrence on Alternatives Retained for Detailed Study	A-12
5/14/07	MD Dept of the Environment	SHA	Concurrence on Alternatives Retained for Detailed Study	A-13
2/2/07	FHWA	SHA	Concurrence on Alternatives Retained for Detailed Study	A-14
2/27/07	FHWA	SHA	Concurrence on Alternatives Retained for Detailed Study	A-15
2/26/07	MD Department of Planning	SHA	Concurrence on Alternatives Retained for Detailed Study	A-16
2/16/07	US Coast Guard	SHA	Concurrence on Alternatives Retained for Detailed Study	A-17
4/4/07	US Fish and Wildlife Service	SHA	Concurrence on Alternatives Retained for Detailed Study	A-18

4/3/07	US Fish and Wildlife Service	SHA	Letter providing comments on Concurrence on Alternatives Retained for Detailed Study	A-19:A-20
3/19/07	MD Dept of Natural Resources	SHA	Concurrence on Alternatives Retained for Detailed Study	A-21
3/19/07	MD Dept of Natural Resources	SHA	Letter providing comments on Concurrence on Alternatives Retained for Detailed Study	A-22:A-25
2/9/07	Corps of Engineers	SHA	Concurrence on Alternatives Retained for Detailed Study	A-26
2/2/07	MD Historical Trust	SHA	Concurrence on Alternatives Retained for Detailed Study	A-27
1/9/07	National Marine Fisheries Service	SHA	Concurrence on Alternatives Retained for Detailed Study	A-28
1/9/07	National Marine Fisheries Service	SHA	Letter providing comments on Concurrence on Alternatives Retained for Detailed Study	A-29:A-30
3/4/11	MD Dept of Natural Resources	SHA	Concurrence on SHA Preferred Alternative and Conceptual Mitigation Package	A-31:A-33
3/14/11	National Marine Fisheries Service	SHA	Concurrence on SHA Preferred Alternative and Conceptual Mitigation Package	A-34
3/15/11	MD Historical Trust	SHA	Concurrence on SHA Preferred Alternative and Conceptual Mitigation Package	A-35
6/29/11	MD Dept of the Environment	SHA	Concurrence on SHA Preferred Alternative and Conceptual Mitigation Package	A-36:A-38
7/7/11	MD Department of Planning	SHA	Concurrence on SHA Preferred Alternative and Conceptual Mitigation Package	A-39
8/3/11	EPA	SHA	Concurrence on SHA Preferred Alternative and Conceptual Mitigation Package	A-40
8/5/11	US Fish and Wildlife Service	SHA	Concurrence on SHA Preferred Alternative and Conceptual Mitigation Package	A-41
9/16/11	FHWA	SHA	Concurrence on SHA Preferred Alternative and Conceptual Mitigation Package	A-42

8/1/11	Corps of Engineers	SHA	Concurrence on SHA Preferred Alternative and Conceptual Mitigation Package	A-43: A-44
Agency Coordination				
9/28/04	MD Dept of Natural Resources	SHA	Letter responding to 9/23/04 request for information on the presence of finfish species within the study area	B-1:B-2
11/9/04	US Fish and Wildlife Service	SHA	Letter responding to 9/23/04 request for information on the presence of species which are federally listed or proposed for listing as endangered or threatened within the project vicinity	B-3:B-4
11/24/04	MD Dept of Natural Resources	SHA	Letter detailing environmental review of project area	B-5:B-7
3/20/07	MD Dept of Natural Resources	SHA	E-mail clarifying status of Sandwich Tern as not State-listed endangered	B-8
3/21/05	MD Dept of Natural Resources	SHA	Letter clarifying instream work restrictions to protect anadromous fish	B-9:B-10
8/12/05	National Marine Fisheries Service	SHA	Letter responding to 7/26/05 request for information on the presence of threatened or endangered species under the jurisdiction of NMFS	B-11:B-12
12/6/05	SHA	MD Historical Trust	Request for concurrence on NRHP determinations	B-13:B-29
5/23/06	Corps of Engineers	SHA	Letter responding to 5/12/06 request for information concerning the relocation of the federal navigation channel	B-30
7/25/06	MD Historical Trust	SHA	MD Historic Trust concurrence document	B-31:B-33
8/3/06	Board of Education of Worcester Co.	SHA	Letter responding to 7/21/06 request for information concerning existing or proposed public schools in the study area	B-34
2/12/07	FHWA	National Marine Fisheries Service	Letter requesting initiation of Section 7 consultation for U.S. 50 Crossing project	B-35:B-36

3/19/07	National Marine Fisheries Service	FHWA	Letter responding to FHWA's 2/12/07 request for Section 7 consultation	B-37
4/20/07	SHA	MD Historical Trust	Request for concurrence on NRHP determinations	B-38:B-46
7/13/07	MD Historical Trust	SHA	MD Historic Trust concurrence document	B-47:B-48
7/27/07	Ocean City Police Dept	SHA	Report of determination of effects to emergency services and response times	B-49:B-53
10/31/07	SHA	MD Historical Trust	Request for concurrence on NRHP determinations	B-54:B-64
12/18/07	MD Historical Trust	SHA	MD Historical Trust concurrence document	B-65
11/23/07	SHA	MD Dept of Natural Resources	Letter responding to DNR's 3/19/07 ARDS comments	B-66:B-69
9/26/07	SHA	US Fish and Wildlife Service	Letter responding to US FWS 4/3/07 ARDS comments	B-70-B-71
12/17/07	National Marine Fisheries Service	FHWA	Letter providing comments and recommendations per the EFH assessment reviewed on 11/15/07	B-72:B-73
3/14/08	SHA	MD Department of Planning	Letter responding to MDP 2/26/07 ARDS comments	B-74:B-75
3/17/08	SHA	National Marine Fisheries Service	Letter responding to NMFS 1/9/08 ARDS comments	B-76:B-78
4/25/08	US Fish and Wildlife Service	SHA	Letter providing comments on Draft Environmental Impact Statement	B-79:B-80
5/12/08	FHWA	National Marine Fisheries Service	Letter responding to NMFS 12/17/07 EFH comments	B-81:B-82
6/2/08	Assateague COASTKEEPER	SHA	Email providing comments on Draft Environmental Impact Statement	B-83
6/24/08	MD Critical Area Commission	SHA	Letter providing comments on Draft Environmental Impact Statement	B-84:B-89
6/25/08	MD State Police	MD State Police	Memo providing comments on Draft Environmental Impact Statement	B-90
6/27/08	MD Coastal Bays Program	SHA	Letter providing comments on Draft Environmental Impact Statement	B-91:B-92

6/27/08	MD Department of Planning	SHA	Letter providing comments on Draft Environmental Impact Statement	B-93:B-95
6/30/08	EPA	SHA	Letter providing comments on Draft Environmental Impact Statement	B-96:B-97
7/3/08	Corps of Engineers	SHA	Letter providing comments on Draft Environmental Impact Statement	B-98:B-99
12/8/08	US Fish and Wildlife Service	SHA	Letter providing comments on US 50 Bridge Replacement Project potential wetland mitigation field trip	B-100: B-102
7/21/10	MD Historical Trust	SHA	Concurrence with SHA Determination(s) of Eligibility and/or Effects	B-103: B-116
2/11/11	SHA	MD Historical Trust	Consultation and MOA	B-117: B-120
5/20/11	Advisory Council on Historic Preservation (ACHP)	FHWA	Letter declining consultation for Memorandum Of Agreement (MOA)	B-121
8/19/11	FHWA	Advisory Council on Historic Preservation	Signed MOA	B-122: B-130
8/29/11	SHA	MD Coastal Bays Program	Response letter addressing comments on Draft Environmental Impact Statement	B-131: B-132
8/29/11	SHA	US Fish and Wildlife Service	Response letter addressing comments on Draft Environmental Impact Statement	B-133: B-134
8/29/11	SHA	EPA	Response letter addressing comments on Draft Environmental Impact Statement	B-135: B-136
9/14/11	SHA	Corps of Engineers	Response letter addressing comments on Draft Environmental Impact Statement	B-137: B-142
9/14/11	SHA	MD Critical Area Commission	Response letter addressing comments on Draft Environmental Impact Statement	B-143: B-160

Public Outreach				
June 2005	SHA	Public	June 2005 Newsletter	C-1:C-2
Fall 2005	SHA	Public	Fall 2005 Newsletter	C-3:C-4
Winter 2006	SHA	Public	Winter 2006 Newsletter	C-5:C-6
Spring 2007	SHA	Public	Spring 2007 Newsletter	C-7:C-8
6/1/07 6/2/07	SHA	Public	Summary of Comments from the Alternatives Public Workshop	C-9:C-11
5/31/07 6/1/07	SHA	Public	Summary of Comments from the Informational Public Workshop	C-12:C-13
6/27/07	SHA	Worcester County Fire Department	Letter requesting EMS comments regarding the proposed project	C-14:C-15
6/27/07	SHA	Ocean City Police Department	Letter requesting EMS comments regarding the proposed project	C-16:C-18
6/27/07	SHA	Ocean City Volunteer Fire Department	Letter requesting EMS comments regarding the proposed project	C-19:C-21
6/27/07	SHA	Worcester County Emergency Services	Letter requesting EMS comments regarding the proposed project	C-22:C-23
6/27/07	SHA	Worcester County Sheriff's Office	Letter requesting EMS comments regarding the proposed project	C-24:C-25
March 2008	SHA	N/A	Summary of Public Involvement	C-26:C-28
5/29/08	SHA	Public	Summary of Comments from Public Hearing	C-29:C-30
7/23/09	Worcester County	SHA	Letter providing comments on 7/21/09 meeting	C-31
2/22/11	SHA	SHA	Memo providing summary of 4/27/10 presentation	C-32:C-34
5/7/10	SHA	Worcester County Commissioners	Letter responding to Worcester County Commissioners 5/5/10 US 50 Crossing Study comments	C-35
6/4/10	SHA	SHA	Memo providing summary of 5/4/10 Worcester County Commissioners' meeting	C-36:C-37

6/4/10	SHA	SHA	Memo providing summary of 4/6/10 Worcester County Commissioners' presentation	C-38:C-40
5/29/08	Mr. Al 'Pop' Wendling	SHA	US 50 Public Hearing transcript comment	C-41:C-43
7/10/08	SHA	Mr. Al 'Pop' Wendling	Letter responding to US 50 Public Hearing transcript comment	C-44:C-45
5/29/08	Mr. Regan Smith	SHA	US 50 Public Hearing transcript comment	C-46:C-50
7/10/08	SHA	Mr. Regan Smith	Letter responding to US 50 Public Hearing transcript comment	C-51:C-52
5/29/08	Mr. Jim McGinnis	SHA	US 50 Public Hearing transcript comment	C-53:C-56
7/10/08	SHA	Mr. Jim McGinnis	Letter responding to US 50 Public Hearing transcript comment	C-57:C-58
5/29/08	Mr. G. Hale Harrison	SHA	US 50 Public Hearing transcript comment	C-59:C-62
7/10/08	SHA	Mr. G. Hale Harrison	Letter responding to US 50 Public Hearing transcript comment	C-63:C-64
5/14/08	Mr. Kiladis	SHA	US 50 5/29/08 Public Hearing comment	C-65
7/10/08	SHA	Mr. Kiladis	Letter responding to US 50 5/29/08 Public Hearing comments	C-66
5/14/08	Mr. Rettman	SHA	US 50 5/29/08 Public Hearing comment	C-67
5/14/08	Mr. Webb	SHA	US 50 5/29/08 Public Hearing comment	C-68
7/10/08	SHA	Mr. Webb	Letter responding to US 50 5/29/08 Public Hearing comments	C-69
5/15/08	Mr. Abele	SHA	US 50 5/29/08 Public Hearing comment	C-70
7/10/08	SHA	Mr. Abele	Letter responding to US 50 5/29/08 Public Hearing comments	C-71:C-72
5/16/08	J. & A. Kipp	SHA	US 50 5/29/08 Public Hearing comment	C-73
5/16/08	Ms. Lynch	SHA	US 50 5/29/08 Public Hearing comment	C-74
7/10/08	SHA	Ms. Lynch	Letter responding to US 50 5/29/08 Public Hearing comments	C-75
5/16/08	Mr. Manno	SHA	US 50 5/29/08 Public Hearing comment	C-76
5/17/08	Mr. Davis	SHA	US 50 5/29/08 Public Hearing comment	C-77

7/10/08	SHA	Mr. Davis	Letter responding to US 50 5/29/08 Public Hearing comments	C-78: C-79
5/17/08	Ms. Dotson	SHA	US 50 5/29/08 Public Hearing comment	C-80
5/19/08	Mr. Johnson	SHA	US 50 5/29/08 Public Hearing comment	C-81
7/10/08	SHA	Mr. Johnson	Letter responding to US 50 5/29/08 Public Hearing comments	C-82
5/19/08	Ms. Panlilio	SHA	US 50 5/29/08 Public Hearing comment	C-83
7/10/08	SHA	Ms. Panlilio	Letter responding to US 50 5/29/08 Public Hearing comments	C-84
5/20/08	Mr. Balum	SHA	US 50 5/29/08 Public Hearing comment	C-85
7/10/08	SHA	Mr. Balum	Letter responding to US 50 5/29/08 Public Hearing comments	C-86
5/20/08	Capone Music Co. Enterprises, LLC	SHA	US 50 5/29/08 Public Hearing comment	C-87
7/10/08	SHA	Capone Music Co. Enterprises, LLC	Letter responding to US 50 5/29/08 Public Hearing comments	C-88: C-89
5/20/08	Mr. Frei	SHA	US 50 5/29/08 Public Hearing comment	C-90
7/10/08	SHA	Mr. Frei	Letter responding to US 50 5/29/08 Public Hearing comments	C-91
5/20/08	Mr. Radowich	SHA	US 50 5/29/08 Public Hearing comment	C-92
5/20/08	Mr. VanKirk	SHA	US 50 5/29/08 Public Hearing comment	C-93
7/10/08	SHA	Mr. VanKirk	Letter responding to US 50 5/29/08 Public Hearing comments	C-94
5/20/08	Ms. Yearley	SHA	US 50 5/29/08 Public Hearing comment	C-95
7/10/08	SHA	Ms. Yearly	Letter responding to US 50 5/29/08 Public Hearing comments	C-96
5/22/08	Mr. Cureton	SHA	US 50 5/29/08 Public Hearing comment	C-97
7/10/08	SHA	Mr. Cureton	Letter responding to US 50 5/29/08 Public Hearing comments	C-98
5/22/08	Mr. Marc	SHA	US 50 5/29/08 Public Hearing comment	C-99

7/10/08	SHA	Mr. Marc	Letter responding to US 50 5/29/08 Public Hearing comments	C-100: C-101
5/22/08	Mr. Stamboni	SHA	US 50 5/29/08 Public Hearing comment	C-102
5/23/08	D. & S. Niggel	SHA	US 50 5/29/08 Public Hearing comment	C-103
7/10/08	SHA	D. & S. Niggel	Letter responding to US 50 5/29/08 Public Hearing comments	C-104
5/24/08	Resident	SHA	US 50 5/29/08 Public Hearing comment	C-105
5/26/08	R. & L. Bayles	SHA	US 50 5/29/08 Public Hearing comment	C-106
7/10/08	SHA	R. & L. Bayles	Letter responding to US 50 5/29/08 Public Hearing comments	C-107: C-108
5/26/08	N. & L. Caminiti	SHA	US 50 5/29/08 Public Hearing comment	C-109
7/10/08	SHA	N. & L. Caminiti	Letter responding to US 50 5/29/08 Public Hearing comments	C-110
5/26/08	Mr. Matson	SHA	US 50 5/29/08 Public Hearing comment	C-111
5/27/08	Ms. Gunther	SHA	US 50 5/29/08 Public Hearing comment	C-112
5/28/08	Mr. Pulley	SHA	US 50 5/29/08 Public Hearing comment	C-113
7/10/08	SHA	Mr. Pulley	Letter responding to US 50 5/29/08 Public Hearing comments	C-114
5/29/08	Unknown	SHA	US 50 5/29/08 Public Hearing comment	C-115
5/29/08	Unknown	SHA	US 50 5/29/08 Public Hearing comment	C-116
5/29/08	Unknown	SHA	US 50 5/29/08 Public Hearing comment	C-117
5/29/08	Unknown	SHA	US 50 5/29/08 Public Hearing comment	C-118
5/29/08	Unknown	SHA	US 50 5/29/08 Public Hearing comment	C-119
5/29/08	Unknown	SHA	US 50 5/29/08 Public Hearing comment	C-120
5/29/08	Unknown	SHA	US 50 5/29/08 Public Hearing comment	C-121
5/29/08	Unknown	SHA	US 50 5/29/08 Public Hearing comment	C-122
5/29/08	Unknown	SHA	US 50 5/29/08 Public Hearing comment	C-123
5/29/08	Unknown	SHA	US 50 5/29/08 Public Hearing comment	C-124
5/29/08	Unknown	SHA	US 50 5/29/08 Public Hearing comment	C-125
5/29/08	Unknown	SHA	US 50 5/29/08 Public Hearing comment	C-126

5/29/08	Unknown	SHA	US 50 5/29/08 Public Hearing comment	C-127
5/29/08	Mr. Chambers	SHA	US 50 5/29/08 Public Hearing comment	C-128
7/10/08	SHA	Mr. Chambers	Letter responding to US 50 5/29/08 Public Hearing comments	C-129: C-130
5/29/08	Ms. Chullin	SHA	US 50 5/29/08 Public Hearing comment	C-131
7/10/08	SHA	Ms. Chullin	Letter responding to US 50 5/29/08 Public Hearing comments	C-132: C-133
5/29/08	Mr. McCarthy	SHA	US 50 5/29/08 Public Hearing comment	C-134
5/29/08	Resident	SHA	US 50 5/29/08 Public Hearing comment	C-135
5/29/08	Regan J. R. Smith	SHA	US 50 5/29/08 Public Hearing comment	C-136: C-144
5/29/08	Mr. Wright	SHA	US 50 5/29/08 Public Hearing comment	C-145
7/10/08	SHA	Mr. Wright	Letter responding to US 50 5/29/08 Public Hearing comments	C-146: C-147
5/30/08	Ms. Richstone	SHA	US 50 5/29/08 Public Hearing comment	C-148
7/10/08	SHA	Ms. Richstone	Letter responding to US 50 5/29/08 Public Hearing comments	C-149: C-150
5/30/08	D. & S. Smith	SHA	US 50 5/29/08 Public Hearing comment	C-151
7/10/08	SHA	D. & S. Smith	Letter responding to US 50 5/29/08 Public Hearing comments	C-152
5/31/08	Atlantic House Bed & Breakfast	SHA	US 50 5/29/08 Public Hearing comment	C-153
7/10/08	SHA	Atlantic House Bed & Breakfast	Letter responding to US 50 5/29/08 Public Hearing comments	C-154: C-155
5/31/08	Mr. Clark	SHA	US 50 5/29/08 Public Hearing comment	C-156
7/10/08	SHA	Mr. Clark	Letter responding to US 50 5/29/08 Public Hearing comments	C-157
5/31/08	Mr. McGinnis	SHA	US 50 5/29/08 Public Hearing comment	C-158
7/10/08	SHA	Mr. McGinnis	Letter responding to US 50 5/29/08 Public Hearing comments	C-159

6/1/08	Mr. Madigosky	SHA	US 50 5/29/08 Public Hearing comment	C-160
7/10/08	SHA	Mr. Madigosky	Letter responding to US 50 5/29/08 Public Hearing comments	C-161
6/2/08	Ms. Gillen	SHA	US 50 5/29/08 Public Hearing comment	C-162
7/10/08	SHA	Ms. Gillen	Letter responding to US 50 5/29/08 Public Hearing comments	C-163
6/3/08	Mr. Diehlmann	SHA	US 50 5/29/08 Public Hearing comment	C-164
6/3/08	Mr. Robinson	SHA	US 50 5/29/08 Public Hearing comment	C-165: C-166
7/10/08	SHA	Mr. Robinson	Letter responding to US 50 5/29/08 Public Hearing comments	C-167
6/3/08	Unknown	SHA	US 50 5/29/08 Public Hearing comment	C-168
6/4/08	Mr. Lees	SHA	US 50 5/29/08 Public Hearing comment	C-169
7/10/08	SHA	Mr. Lees	Letter responding to US 50 5/29/08 Public Hearing comments	C-170: C-171
6/5/08	Harrison Group	SHA	US 50 5/29/08 Public Hearing comment	C-172: C-174
7/10/08	SHA	Harrison Group	Letter responding to US 50 5/29/08 Public Hearing comments	C-175: C-176
6/5/08	Ocean City Development Corporation	SHA	US 50 5/29/08 Public Hearing comment	C-177
7/10/08	SHA	Ocean City Development Corporation	Letter responding to US 50 5/29/08 Public Hearing comments	C-178
6/6/08	D. & N. Bloom	SHA	US 50 5/29/08 Public Hearing comment	C-179
7/10/08	SHA	D. & N. Bloom	Letter responding to US 50 5/29/08 Public Hearing comments	C-180: C-181
6/10/08	Ms. Emery	SHA	US 50 5/29/08 Public Hearing comment	C-182
6/12/08	Ms. DiFilippo	SHA	US 50 5/29/08 Public Hearing comment	C-183

7/10/08	SHA	Ms. DiFilippo	Letter responding to US 50 5/29/08 Public Hearing comments	C-184
7/10/08	SHA	Ms. Cropper	Letter responding to US 50 5/29/08 Public Hearing comments	C-185