TABLE OF CONTENTS

I. PURPOSE AND NEED I-1
- A. Project Location I-1
- B. Project Background I-1
- C. Project Purpose and Need I-4
  - 1. Traffic Analysis I-4
  - 2. Accident Data I-7
  - 3. Bicycle and Pedestrian Accommodation I-8

II. ALTERNATIVES CONSIDERED II-1
- A. Alternatives Presented At the Alternates Public Workshop II-1
- B. Alternatives Not Recommended for Detailed Study II-3
- C. Alternatives Retained for Detailed Study (ARDS) and Presented at the Public Hearing II-4
- D. Alternatives Modified and Developed after the Public Hearing II-12
  - 1. Alternative Modification II-12
  - 2. Alternatives Developed II-13
- E. Alternatives Not Selected II-16
- F. SHA's Preferred Alternative II-17
  - 1. Description of the SHA Preferred Alternative II-17
  - 2. SHA Preferred Alternative and Conceptual Mitigation Package II-17
    - a. Cultural Resources II-18
    - b. Chesapeake and Atlantic Coastal Bays Critical Area II-18
    - c. Tidal Wetland and Waters Mitigation Requirements II-18
    - d. Rare, Threatened and Endangered Species II-19

III. AFFECTED ENVIRONMENT III-1
- A. Social Environment III-1
  - 1. Social Characteristics III-1
    - a. Population and Housing III-1
    - b. Environmental Justice (EJ) III-4
  - 2. Public Outreach III-8
  - 3. Neighborhoods and Communities III-9
    - a. Ocean City III-9
    - b. West Ocean City III-9
  - 4. Community Facilities and Services III-10
  - 5. Economic Environment III-17
    - a. Regional Employment Characteristics III-17
    - b. Local Employment Characteristics III-19
    - c. Tax Base III-20
  - 6. Land Use III-20
    - a. Existing Land Use III-20
    - b. Future Land Use III-23
    - c. Smart Growth III-23
  - 7. Livability Principles and Sustainability III-27

B. Cultural Resources III-28
1. Historic Standing Structures III-28
a. St. Paul's by the Sea Episcopal Church (WO-326) III-29
b. Taylor House (MIHP No.WO-331) III-29
c. Edwin L. Purnell Store (MIHP No. WO-336) III-29
d. Town Market (MIHP No. WO-337) III-30
e. City Hall (MIHP No. WO-341) III-30
f. SHA Bridge No. 2300700 (MIHP No. WO-461) III-30
g. Emery-Hartman House (MIHP No. WO-553) III-31
h. Francis Scott Key Motel (MIHP No. WO-555) III-31
2. Archeological Resources III-31
C. Natural Resources III-33
1. Climate III-33
2. Topography, Geology, and Soils III-33
a. Topography and Geology III-33
b. Soils III-33
c. Prime Farmland Soils, Soils of Statewide Importance and Unique and Locally Important Farmland Soils III-34
3. Water Quality III-35
a. Groundwater III-35
b. Surface Water III-35
c. Submerged Aquatic Vegetation (SAV) III-36
4. Jurisdictional Waters of the United States. III-36
a. Wetlands III-36
b. Other Waters of the United States III-37
5. Floodplains III-37
6. Chesapeake and Atlantic Coastal Bays Critical Area III-39
7. Terrestrial and Aquatic Habitat and Wildlife III-41
a. Terrestrial Habitat and Wildlife III-41
b. Aquatic Habitat and Wildlife/Fisheries III-41
8. Rare, Threatened and Endangered Species III-44
a. Colonial Nesting Waterbirds III-44
b. Marine Turtles III-44
c. Aquatic Species III-46
9. Maryland Green Infrastructure III-46
D. Hazardous Materials/Waste Sites III-47
1. Methodology III-47
2. Historic Review and Regulatory Review III-48
3. Site Reconnaissance and Potential Site Hazard Ranking III-48
E. Air Quality III-53
F. Noise Quality III-53
1. Introduction III-53
2. Methodology, Sample Results and Analysis III-56
G. Visual and Aesthetic Quality III-58

IV. ENVIRONMENTAL CONSEQUENCES IV-1
A. Socioeconomic Effects IV-1
1. Social Effects IV-1
a. Displacement and Property Effects IV-1
b. Relocation Process IV-1
c. Environmental Justice IV-3
d. Effects on Neighborhoods and Communities IV-3
e. Effects on Community Facilities and Services IV-4
2. Economic Effects IV-5
a. Regional Employment Effects IV-5
b. Local Effects IV-6
c. Tax Base and Property Value Effects IV-6
3. Land Use Effects IV-6
a. Existing and Future Land Use Effects IV-6
b. Compliance with Smart Growth Initiatives IV-6
4. Effects on Livability Principles and Sustainability IV-7
B. Cultural Resources IV-7
1. Historic Standing Structures IV-9
a. St. Paul's by the Sea Episcopal Church (MIHP No. WO-326) IV-9
b. Taylor House (MIHP No. WO-331) IV-9
c. Edwin L. Purnell Store (MIHP No. WO-336) IV-9
d. Town Market (MIHP No. WO-337) IV-9
e. City Hall (MIHP No. WO-341) IV-9
f. SHA Bridge No. 2300700 (MIHP No. WO-461) IV-10
g. Emery-Hartman House (MIHP No. WO-553) IV-10
h. Francis Scott Key Motel (MIHP No. WO-555) IV-10
2. Archeology IV-10
3. Conclusion IV-10
C. Natural Resources IV-11
1. Climate IV-11
2. Topography, Geology and Soils IV-12
3. Water Quality Impacts IV-13
a. Groundwater Impacts IV-13
b. Surface Water Impacts IV-13
c. Avoidance and Minimization IV-14
4. Jurisdictional Wetlands and Other Waters of the United States. IV-14
a. Impacts IV-14
b. Wetland/Waterways Mitigation/Permits IV-15
5. Floodplains IV-16
6. Chesapeake and Atlantic Coastal Bays Critical Area IV-16
7. Terrestrial and Aquatic Habitat and Wildlife IV-17
a. Terrestrial Habitat and Wildlife Impacts IV-17
b. Aquatic Habitat and Wildlife/Fisheries Impacts IV-17
c. Avoidance and Minimization IV-18
8. Rare, Threatened and Endangered Species IV-20
a. Impacts IV-20
b. Avoidance and Minimization IV-22

9. Maryland's Green Infrastructure Impacts IV-23
D. Hazardous Materials/Waste Impacts IV-24
1. Potential Hazardous Materials Site Impacts IV-24
2. Discussion of Potentially Impacted Site IV-24
E. Air Quality IV-24
1. Methodology IV-24
2. Predicted Results of Micro-scale Analysis IV-25
3. Construction Impacts IV-30
F. Noise IV-30
1. Impact Analysis IV-30
2. Feasibility and Reasonableness of Noise Control IV-32
3. Construction Noise IV-34
G. Visual and Aesthetic Quality IV-34
H. Indirect and Cumulative Effects Analysis IV-35
1. Summary of Potential Indirect and Cumulative Effects IV-37
2. ICE Mitigation IV-39

V. PROGRAMMATIC SECTION 4(F) V-1
A. Introduction V-1
B. Purpose and Need V-1
C. Proposed Action V-2
D. Description of Section 4(f) Resource V-3
E. Section 4(f) Use V-4
F. Findings V-4
G. Measures to Minimize Harm V-5
H. Concluding Statement V-6
I. Coordination V-6

VI. COMMENTS AND COORDINATION VI-1
A. Location/Design Public Hearing VI-1
B. Public and Agency Coordination Following the DEIS and Location/Design Public Hearing VI-1

VII. LIST OF PREPARERS VII-1
A. Federal Highway Administration VII-1
B. State Highway Administration VII-1
C. Johnson, Mirmiran & Thompson VII-2
D. A.D. Marble & Company VII-2

VIII. DISTRIBUTION LIST VIII-1
A. Federal Agencies VIII-1
B. State Agencies VIII-2
C. Maryland Department of Transportation VIII-3
D. County/Local Agencies VIII-4
E. Others VIII-5

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure I-1 Vicinity Map I-2
Figure I-2 Study Area Map I-3

Figure II-1 Typical Sections II-7
Figure II-2 Alternative 2 - Rehabilitation II-8
Figure II-3 Alternative 4 Modified –Fixed Span Bridge II-9
Figure II-4 Alternative 5 – South Parallel Bridge II-10
Figure II-5 Alternative 5A: North Parallel Bridge 30' High Draw Span II-11
Figure II-6 Alternative 4 Modified Signal Option: Fixed Span Bridge – 45' Fixed Span II-15

Figure III-1 U.S. Census (2000) Block Groups III-2
Figure III-2A Communities and Neighborhoods III-12
Figure III-2B Communities and Neighborhoods III-13
Figure III-3 Community Resources and Facilities III-16
Figure III-4 Existing Land Use III-22
Figure III-5 Future Land Use: Ocean City III-24
Figure III-6 Future Land Use: Worcester County III-25
Figure III-7 Priority Funding Areas III-26
Figure III-8 Cultural Resources Map III-32
Figure III-9 Water Resources Map III-38
Figure III-10 Critical Area Map III-40
Figure III-11 Potential Hazardous Materials Sites III-52
Figure III-12 Noise Sensitive Areas III-55

Figure IV-1 Alternative 5A - North Parallel Bridge IV-2
Figure IV-2 ICE Boundary Components IV-36

LIST OF PHOTOS

Photo III-1 Aerial View of the Ocean City Inlet from the Isle of Wight Bay I-2

Photo V-1 Harry W. Kelley Memorial Bridge No. 2300700 ..... V-2

LIST OF TABLES

Table I-1 Intersection LOS Analysis – "Summer Saturday" Traffic I-5
Table I-2 SimTraffic Analysis Results for Area Signalized (S) and Unsignalized (U) Intersections I-6
Table I-3 Accident Experience by Year, Severity, Accident Rate, and Comparable Statewide Average Accident Rates I-7

Table II-1 Comparison of Environmental Impacts II-17

Table III-1 Population Trends III-1
Table III-2 Housing Trends III-3
Table III-3 Racial and Ethnic Characteristics III-5
Table III-4 Age Distribution III-5
Table III-5 Household Income and Poverty Data III-7
Table III-6 Residential Areas III-11
Table III-7 Employment Sectors III-18
Table III-8 Commuting Mode III-19
Table III-9 Existing Land Use III-21
Table III-10 Bird Species Observed in the Study Area III-41
Table III-11 MSFCMA Species Potentially Utilizing Maryland Coastal Bays III-43
Table III-12 Species of Interest within the Study Area III-45
Table III-13 Review of USGS Topographic Maps III-48
Table III-14 Hazardous Site Ranking Criteria III-49
Table III-15 Project Hazard Ranking III-50
Table III-16 Monitored Noise Levels III-56
Table III-17 Existing Worst-Case Traffic Noise Levels (dBA) III-57

Table IV-1 Preferred Alternative – Wetland/Waters Impacts and Compensatory Mitigation IV-16
Table IV-2 Relative Risk to EFH Species as a Result of the Proposed Project IV-19
Table IV-3 Anticipated Impacts Determined by the Sand Migration Modeling IV-21
Table IV-4 Modeled Queuing Analysis CO Emissions in ppm IV-25
Table IV-5 U.S. Annual Vehicle Miles Traveled vs. MSAT Emissions, 2000-2020 IV-30
Table IV-6 FHWA Noise Abatement Criteria IV-31
Table IV-7 Traffic Noise Level Summary IV-32
Table IV-8 Study Area Population Size, 1940-2000 ..... IV-35

Table V-1 Comparison of SHA Preferred Alternative and Options V-8
Table V-2 Least Overall Harm Analysis V-9

APPENDICES

Appendix A List of Technical Reports
Appendix B Glossary
Appendix C References
Appendix D Maryland Relocation Assistance Program
Appendix E Environmental Justice Guidelines
Appendix F 2007 Bridge Inspection Report